UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,700	12/11/2003	Balaji S. Thenthiruperai	2493	8862
28005 <b>SPRIN</b> T	7590 09/29/200		EXAMINER	
6391 SPRINT F			IQBAL, KHAWAR	
KSOPHT0101-Z2100 OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/734,700	THENTHIRUPERAI ET A	L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KHAWAR IQBAL	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are perionally in the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become Al	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matt		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,9-11,13-25,29 and 30 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-11, 13-25 and 29-30 is/are ress.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/734,700 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-11, 14-25, 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barclay (20030119522) further in view of Aykanen (20020173317).

Regarding claim 1 Barclay et al teaches a method comprising (Fig. 4): in a client station (101, fig. 1), detecting a request to initiate voice call (customer makes an emergency call to the emergency person using wireless device 101, see fig. 4, step 401, para. # 0019); and

responsive to the request, sending from the client station (101) into a network (cellular communication network) a message indicating how to carry out a location-based service (when call is placed to or by a customer using wireless device 101, it is determine in the wireless device 101 location provision feature, para. # 0019), wherein the message indicates a location granularity preference of a user of the client station (examiner read as claim limitation "location granularity preference" interpreted that providing option of providing the location of the wireless device 101 with using different kind of codes, i.e., messages, for example \*57, \*67 and \*77 to disable or permanently disable the location or user grant permission to send location by entering code to enabled the position, para. # 0018-0020). Barclay does not explicitly state the memory of the client station includes

a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective location application.

In an analogous art, Aykanen teaches the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective location application (a request for level of location information concerning a mobile terminal from a local application (1-1) residing in the terminal through an application program interface (1-3). A particular source from a set of potential sources is determined to provide the requested location information to the local Application, para. # 0024-0029, fig. 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Barclay teaches by specifically adding features the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective location application in order to enhance to provide option for user with a specified level of position accuracy taught by Aykanen et al.

Regarding claim 2 Barclay et al teaches wherein detecting the request to initiate the voice call comprises receiving a set of dialed digits from a user of the client station (para. # 0019, see claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 3 Barclay et al teaches further comprising comparing the set of dialed digits to sets of dialed digits stored in a database of the client station (para. # 0019, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 4 Barclay et al teaches further comprising recognizing that the set of dialed digits corresponds to a selected telephone number (para. # 18-20, see claim 1 above).

Regarding claim 5 Barclay et al teaches wherein sending the message from the client station into the network comprises sending the message from the client station to a location-based service provider associated with the selected telephone number (para. # 18-20).

Regarding claim 9 Barclay et al teaches wherein the message directs the network to determine a location of the client station (para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 10 Barclay et al teaches wherein the message directs the network not to determine a location of the client station (para. # 18-20, see claim 1, above).

Regarding claim 11 Barclay et al teaches wherein the message indicates a location determination consent level of a user of the client station (para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 12 Barclay et al teaches wherein the message indicates a location granularity preference of a user of the client station (para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 14 Barclay et al teaches further comprising receiving a location based service in response to the message from the network (para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 15 Barclay et al teaches further comprising storing the location granularity preference on the client station (para. # 18-20, see claim 1, above).

Regarding claim 16 Barclay et al teaches further comprising the user modifying the location granularity preference on the client station (para. # 18-20, see claim 1 and Aykanen).

Regarding claim 17 Barclay et al teaches further comprising receiving a response to the message from the network indicating a location of the client station (para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 18 Barclay et al teaches wherein sending the message from the client station into the network comprises sending a short message service (SMS) message into the network (para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 19 Barclay et al teaches wherein sending the message from the client station into the network comprises sending an HTTP message into the network (Design choice, SMS or SIP or HTTP [WELL-KNOWN], para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 20 Barclay et al teaches wherein sending the message from the client station into the network comprises sending an SIP message into the network (Design choice, SMS or SIP or HTTP [WELL-KNOWN], para. # 18-20, see claim 1).

Regarding claim 21 Barclay et al teaches wherein sending from the client station into the network the message indicating how to carry out the location-based service comprises sending the message via a communication path comprising an air interface (para. # 18-20).

Regarding claim 22 Barclay et al teaches a method comprising (figs. 1-5):

receiving a request from a user to place a voice call to a given directory number (matching number dial by user) (para. # 18-20); recognizing that the given directory number is associated with a particular destination party (para. # 18-20); and responsive to the request and before initiating the voice call to the given directory number, sending to the particular destination party a message indicating a location granularity preference of the user (para. # 0016, 0018-0020). Barclay does not explicitly state the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective directory number.

In an analogous art, Aykanen teaches the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective directory number (a request for level of location information concerning a mobile terminal from a local application (1-1) residing in the terminal through an application program interface (1-3). A particular source from a set of potential sources is determined to provide the requested location information to the local Application, para. # 0024-0029, fig. 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Barclay teaches by specifically adding features the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective directory number in order to enhance to provide option for user with a specified level of position accuracy taught by Aykanen et al.

Regarding claim 23 Barclay et al teaches wherein the given directory number corresponds to a location-based application (para. # 18-20).

Page 7

Regarding claim 24 Barclay et al teaches wherein the particular destination party corresponds to an entity selected from the group consisting of a location-based

application and a location system (para. # 18-20).

Regarding claim 25 Barclay et al teaches wherein recognizing that the given directory number is associated with the particular destination party comprises comparing the given directory number with location-based service numbers stored

on a client station of the user (para. # 18-20).

Regarding claim 29 Barclay et al teaches a client station comprising (figs. 1-5):

a processor; data storage (para. # 18-20); and

program logic stored in the data storage and executable by the processor, to: detect a request to initiate a call (para. # 0018), and responsive to the request, send into a network a message indicating how to carry out a location-based service, wherein the message indicates a location granularity preference of a user of the client station (para. # 0016, 0018-0020). Barclay does not explicitly state the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective location application.

In an analogous art, Aykanen teaches the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective location application (a request for level of location information concerning a mobile terminal from a local application (1-1) residing in the terminal through an application program interface (1-3). A particular source from a set

of potential sources is determined to provide the requested location information to the local Application, para. # 0024-0029, fig. 1-5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Barclay teaches by specifically adding features the memory of the client station includes a plurality of location granularity preferences and each location granularity preference corresponds to a respective location application in order to enhance to provide option for user with a specified level of position accuracy taught by Aykanen et al.

Regarding claim 30 Barclay et al teaches wherein the client station is selected from the group consisting of a mobile station and a landline station (para. # 0018, fig. 1, see claim 29 and Aykanen).

Claim 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barclay (20030119522) further in view of Aykanen (20020173317) and McDonnell et al. (6799032).

Barclay does not explicitly state provide a randomly adjusted location of the client station to a location-based application.

In an analogous art, McDonnell et al teaches provide a randomly adjusted location of the client station to a location-based application (col. 9, lines 27-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Barclay and Aykanen teaches by specifically adding features provide a randomly adjusted location of the client station to a location-based application in order to enhance to provide option for user with a specified level of position accuracy taught by McDonnell et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,700 Page 9

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 9-11, 13-25 and 29-30 have

been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to KHAWAR IQBAL whose telephone number is (571)272-

7909. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am to 6.30 pm Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, GEORGE ENG can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/K. I./

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/KAMRAN AFSHAR/

Application/Control Number: 10/734,700 Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617